UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,472	04/07/2005	Stefan Holler	5083-47PUS	6529
27799 7590 09/16/2008 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP 551 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			SUITTE, BRYANT P	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/520,472	HOLLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRYANT SUITTE	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-· action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —	/ <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>12-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Denova						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 <i>January</i> 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/22/08, 7/21/08.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						
1 apos 110(0)/main batto <u>or E2/00, 1/2/1/00</u> .						

Application/Control Number: 10/520,472 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

# FUEL CELL STACK COMPRISING A COUNTERFLOW COOLING SYSTEM AND A PLURALITY OF COOLANT-COLLECTING DUCTS LOCATED PARALLEL TO THE AXIS OF THE STACK

Examiner: Suitte 10/520,472 Art Unit: 1795 August 30, 2008

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The Applicant's respectfully request reconsideration of the present application filed on May 22, 2008 was received. Claims 1-11 were cancelled. Claims 12, 19 and 25 were amended. Claims 28-33 were added.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action issued on February 22, 2008.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 19 and 25 recites the limitation "between the open ends". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/520,472 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

5. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nelson (US 6,689,500) on claims 12-16 and 20-21 is withdrawn.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 12-17, 20, 23 and 28-33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Vitale et al. (US 6,066,408).

Regarding claims 12, 28 and 29, Vitale teaches a proton exchange membrane fuel cell stack that comprises a membrane electrode assembly and a plurality of cooling channels between adjacent membrane electrode assemblies. Each channel having two open ends which comprise a flow in opposite direction to an adjacent plate of the fuel cell stack. See figure 2C.

Regarding claim 13, Vitale teaches an inlet and outlet for cooling channels of the said fuel cell stack. The inlet and outlet for cooling channels are arranged one above another in a stack formation aligning the said fuel cell stack manifolds. While the examiner recognizes that the reference does not recite common conductor collector per se, it is however, the perception of the examiner that since the said fuel cell cooling plates comprise manifold inlets and outlets, it necessarily means that the manifolds operate as common conductor collectors. See figure 2A, 2B, 6 and column 7 lines 8-20.

Regarding claim 14, Vitale teaches of a plurality of manifolds, as stated in the above paragraph to be common collector channels, are arranged in parallel on two sides of said fuel cell stack that allow for the inlet and outlet channels to communicate by aligning the holes in the various fuel cell plates. See figure 2A, 2B, 6 and column 7 lines 8-20.

Regarding claim 15, Vitale discloses a fuel cell stack cooling channels are utilized exclusively for cooling said fuel cell. See figure 6 and column 9 lines 15-20.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Vitale teaches a fuel cell stack wherein electrode assemblies comprises an anode electrode and a cathode electrode, wherein said channels are open toward said anode electrode and conduct a fuel supply toward aside anode electrodes. See figure 2A, 2B and 2C.

Regarding claim 20, Vitale discloses an open end exhaust channel (262) (common collector channel). See figure 2C.

Regarding claim 23, Vitale teaches a square fuel cell stack that has an axis through the center of stack that is parallel to the common collector channel. See figure 2C. While the examiner recognizes that the reference does not recite a physical axis per se, it is however, the perception of the examiner that the square fuel cell stack has a straight line through the body of the fuel cell; it necessarily means that the fuel cell stack has an axis that runs parallel to the common collector channel.

Regarding claim 30, Vitale discloses a fuel cell stack comprising two open ends is formed on two different sides of the fuel cell that are inlet and outlet (264, 268, 250, and 262). See figure 2C.

Art Unit: 1795

Regarding claims 31, 32 and 33, Vitale discloses a fuel cell stack comprising two open ends is formed on two different sides of the fuel cell that are inlet and outlet (264, 268, 250, and 262). See figure 2C. The inlet and outlet open ends alternate between inlet and outlet ends are located on opposite's ends of the fuel cell. See figure 2C.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vitale et al. (US 6,006,408) as applied to claims 12-17, 20, 23 and 28-33 above, and further in view of Koschany (US 2003/0012986).

Regarding claims 18 and 24, Vitale discloses a channel width; however the width is not less than 3 mm.

Koschany teaches that an air cooled fuel cell stack comprises cooling channels with a width of 1.0 mm. See paragraph 30 and 29. The 1.0 mm width cooling channels allows for the dispersion of oxygen. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the 1.0 mm width cooling channels with the fuel cell stack of Nelson because Koschany teaches the even distribution of oxygen to sufficiently cool the cathode structure.

Art Unit: 1795

Regarding claims 22 and 26, the disclosure of Koschany differs from Applicant's claims in that Koschany does not disclose a fuel cell stack cooling channel with a pressure of 0.1 to 10 bar. However, Koschany recognize the pressure of the cooling channel is determined by the size and power output of each fuel cell stack. See paragraph 54 last sentence. Therefore, it would have been within the skill of the ordinary artisan to adjust the size and power output of the fuel cell stack to reach a pressure of 0.1 to 10 bar. *Discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art. In re Boesch*, CCPA 1980, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ215.

10. Claims 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vitale et al. (6,006,408) as applied to claims 12-17, 20, 23 and 28-33 above, and further in view of Shelekhin et al. (5,972,530).

Regarding claims 19 and 25, Vitale discloses a fuel cell stack comprising cooling channels; however, Vitale does not teach cooling channels with a length in the range of 20 mm to 200 mm.

Shelekhin teaches an air cooled fuel cell stack having cathode and anode fluid flow plates with a height of 10 to 750 mm. See column 3 lines 53-55. It is the position of the examiner that the cooling channel must be equal to the cathode and anode fluid flow plates to adequately fit the construction of the fuel cell stack. Therefore it would be obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to utilize the height requirements of Shelekhin with the fuel cell stack of Vitale because Shelekhin teaches the height is dependent on the power requirements and space available of the fuel cell stack.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,472

Art Unit: 1795

11. Claims 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vitale et al. (US 6,006,408) as applied to claims 12-17, 20, 23 and 28-33 above, and further in view of Nelson (US 6,689,500).

Page 7

Regarding claim 21, Vitale discloses a fuel cell stack; however a sealing edge surrounding a bipolar plate is not disclosed.

Nelson teaches the fuel cell stack has a gasket that seals the edge surrounding a bipolar plate of said fuel cell. The bipolar plate with the sealing edges is arranged between adjacent fuel cells, with the common collector channel being formed by recesses in said sealing gaskets. See figure 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the sealing gaskets with the bipolar plate of Vitale because Nelson teaches the gaskets keep gases from leaking from the manifolds. See column 5 lines 65-67.

Regarding claim 27, Vitale discloses a fuel cell stack; however an enclosure along an edge of a fuel cell is not disclosed.

Nelson teaches the fuel cell stack with a common collector channel is formed by an enclosure along an edge of said fuel cell. See figure 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize

a common collector along the edge of the fuel cell of Vitale because Nelson teaches directs the humified air from the coolant outlet manifold to the cathode intake manifold for utilization in the fuel cell. See column 6 lines 1-10.

## Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed May 22, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principal arguments are:

a) Neither Vitale nor Nelson teach, at least, "a direction of flow of one of said channels is opposite to a direction of flow of an adjacent one of said channels in said each of said fuel cells," as recited in independent claim 1.

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

a) Vitale discloses a fuel cell stack comprising a coolant channels that flow (264, 268) in opposite directions. Coolant flows through the channels (264) inlet and flows through outlet channel (268) from a fuel cell adjacent to each the other. See figure 2C.

#### Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRYANT SUITTE whose telephone number is (571)270-3961. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 10-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on 571-272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,472 Page 10

Art Unit: 1795

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795